

OCEANOLOGIA MANUSCRIPT REVIEW FORM

MS No ...

Author(s):

Title:

Please consider these aspects while evaluating the manuscript:	Yes	No*
1 Does the paper advance our understanding of oceanology?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2 Does the paper contain significant experimental or statistical data?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3 Does the paper analyse a process in the marine environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4 Does the length of the paper correspond to the significance of its content?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5 Has the title of the paper been clearly formulated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6 Does the abstract contain all the significant information about the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7 Are the structure and organisation of the paper correct (i.e. aim/objective, introduction, methods, results, conclusions)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8 Are the figures explicit and clearly labelled?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9 Have the figure captions been clearly formulated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10 Are the tables correct and concise?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11 Are the literature references up to date and properly formatted?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
12 Does the paper merit publication in OCEANOLOGIA?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• as it stands	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
• after the following amendments have been made:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reviewer's additional comments and explanations enclosed*

Date

Reviewer's signature:

*Mark where appropriate; should you consider this paper unsuitable for publication, please give your reasons on the other side of this questionnaire or on a separate sheet of paper.